



Hounslow
Education
Partnership

PEER CHALLENGE HANDBOOK

Academic Year 2022 – 2023

Summer Term

Contents

PEER CHALLENGE Summer 2023.....	3
PEER CHALLENGE Triads/Quads – 2022-2023.....	4
Common Strengths noted from Peer Challenges:.....	5
Schools raised common issues:	5
Areas for Joint Practice Development	6
Support that can be offered to other schools including any specific strengths.....	6
Background to the model	8
Peer Challenge	8
Hounslow Performance Challenge 2022-2023.....	9
Primary School Improvement	10
Peer Challenge in Practice	11
Protocol, Preparation and Procedure	12
Roles and responsibilities during the day	13
Quality Assurance.....	14
Preparation	15
Peer Challenge Judgement	16
Timeline for Peer Challenge.....	18
School 2 School Support.....	19
Joint Practice Development.....	19
Accountability	19
Peer Challenge Report.....	20
Collaborative Lead Report – Summer 2023	23
Quality Assurance Report.....	24
‘MODEL’ PEER CHALLENGE REPORT – Summer 2023.....	25
Examples of Questions:	30

PEER CHALLENGE Summer 2023

Welcome to the summer round of Peer Challenge 22/23, delivered through the Hounslow Education Partnership. Following on from the successful Peer Challenges during the academic year 21/22 we continue to have 43 schools actively involved in school improvement across the partnership. We undoubtedly recognise that although the context may have changed, considerable challenges continue to present themselves for all connected with schools and their communities.

As leaders, you have been, and continue to be, instrumental in keeping your school community together, developing learning online and supporting staff, children and parents through the difficult times.

As Peer Challenge completes its 9th year, we continue to re-engage, review and reimagine teaching and learning. Together, we have achieved a great deal across Hounslow due to the engagement of schools and the commitment of leadership teams.

As we are aware from our John Yates training, the school led system and the school-to-school support is the future. As John Gardener states *'the self-improving school system does require school leaders, individually and collectively, to be leading and not just managing. They need to be actively engaged in shaping the future – for their school and their partnership (formal or informal).'*

The Hounslow Peer Challenge is a low-cost efficient model of school-to-school support for all Hounslow schools. The outcome of Peer Challenge is a judgement based on the Public Value Proposition and the agreed Hounslow Performance Challenge in relation to your SEF. Therefore, a SEF is a core document required as part of the paperwork that is sent in advance of Peer Review. Please refer to page 13 for a full list of other documents that you should also send.

Peer Challenge Lead Headteacher

Alison Small – Collaborative A

John Norton – Collaborative B

Eileen Sheedy – Collaborative C

Debbie Norton – Collaborative D

HEP Director Cormac Bourne, email: cbourne@hounsloweducationpartnership

PEER CHALLENGE Triads/Quads – 2022-2023

Summer Peer Challenge Window – 18.04.23 to 26.05.23 *QA – Quality Assurer to be booked				
	Collaborative A - Alison	Collaborative B - John	Collaborative C - Eileen	Collaborative D - Debbie
Triad 1	Crane Park Primary Chatsworth Primary QA Feltham Hill Infants	Strand on the Green Inf Hounslow Heath Infants Ivybridge Primary	Isleworth Town Primary Strand on the Green Juniors QA Heston Primary	Lindon Bennett Wellington Primary QA Cardinal Road Infants Belmont Primary
Triad 2	Sparrow Farm Primary QA Spring Grove Primary Nishkam	Grove Park Primary QA Norwood Green Infants Edward Pauling Primary	Fairholme Primary St Lawrence Primary QA Smallberry Green Primary	Norwood Green Jnr QA Southville Primary Reach
Triad 3	Bedfont Primary Springwell Primary Victoria Juniors QA	Alexandra Primary Cavendish Primary Green Dragon Primary	Our Lady & St John's Primary Hounslow Heath Jnr Lionel Primary Cedars QA	The Orchard Primary QA Marlborough Primary William Hogarth Primary
Triad 4	Grove Road QA St Michael's and St Martin's Beavers			

Common Strengths noted from Peer Challenges:

Teaching and Learning:

- Middle/subject leader presentations and the improved ability of this group to discuss/present on the wider curriculum
- Phonic teaching and early reading
- Links between Key stage 1 builds on learning from the Early Years Foundation Stage and Communication
- Modelling of writing is explicit and reinforces key writing skills.
- Strategic SEND provision and interventions
- Skilled and flexible support staff
- Overall attainment and progress
- The experiences and curriculum offer for the Early Years
- The effectiveness of the Teaching and Learning Support Assistants

Leadership teams:

- Senior leaders are able to clearly articulate vision and values and curriculum intent, implementation and impact with clear supporting strategic documentation
- Subject leadership - enthusiastic and knowledgeable subject leaders who understand their role and contribute to the effectiveness of the school
- Communication

Well-being provision / curriculum:

- Well-being – relevant pupil, staff and wider community aspects being focussed on
- PSHE – improved focus in this area, children able to articulate their views on learning and their views on safety
- Resilience
- Curriculum offers are well thought through providing engagement, enrichment and the promotion of cultural capital

Learning behaviour of pupils:

- Positive relationships, positive learning behaviour,
- Behaviour for learning such as independence, listening skills and team work

Schools raised common issues:

- Staffing difficulties, absence related – continuing impact of the pandemic
- Pressures on SLT
- SEND provision - numbers of children with severe SEND within mainstream classes
- Continued development identified in some curriculum areas , subject specific support for individual schools
- Writing following lockdown
- Budget concerns for a range of reasons including concerns over falling roles
- Pupil attendance for some schools
- To improve pupils' ability to write more creatively when working independently.
- Challenge of meeting the needs of pre verbal and complex pupils with significant self-care needs.

Areas for Joint Practice Development

- Subject Leaders Network – facilitated through HEP
- Middle and Senior Leader development
- Curriculum leadership
- Ofsted skills training
- Get Hounslow Reading
- Catch- Up Funding Programme
- Get Hounslow Writing

Further information regarding JPD can be sourced from Cormac Bourne, Partnership Director Mobile: 07770 734740

Email: c.bourne@hounsloweducationpartnership.co.uk

Support that can be offered to other schools including any specific strengths

AREA	SCHOOL	OFFER OF SUPPORT
Assessment	Norwood Green	Assessment in the foundation subjects that is meaningful to class teachers and subject leaders
Behaviour for learning	Grove Road	Zones of Regulation
	Nishkam	The Nishkam ethos and virtues-led approach. Nishkam Passport
	Alexandra	Behaviour and attitudes
	Grove Park	Managing/Introducing Change
	Cedars	Zones of Regulation
	Southville	The Southville approach to the Zones of Regulation
CPD		
Curriculum Development	Feltham Hill	Innovative bespoke curriculum initiatives – Deputy Head able to support
	Norwood Green	Curriculum planning in core and foundation subjects that demonstrates a clear progression of skills from playgroup to the end of Key Stage 1.
	Alexandra	Curriculum design
	Strand on the Green Juniors	Curriculum design
Data		
EAL		
Early Years	Crane Park	Classroom environment in EYFS in particular.
	Feltham Hill	EYFS continuous provision – EYFS Assistant Head able to provide outreach support
	Sparrow Farm	EYFS provision
	Norwood Green	Creating a Nursery environment that is engaging and creates opportunities for young children to achieve highly and engage with enthusiasm in all areas of the curriculum.
	Alexandra	EYFS Provision
	Strand on the Green	Nursery and Reception
	Isleworth Town	Early letters and sounds implementation
	Lionel	EYFS

English	Our Lady & St Johns Cardinal Road	ELS Phonic Scheme EYFS Provision
	Grove Park	Whole class reading approach
	Lionel	Handwriting and presentation Storytelling
Leadership	Strand on the Green Juniors Cardinal Road Alexandra	Approach to reading and interventions Read Write Inc. Package Leadership
	Grove Park Lionel Strand on the Green Juniors Norwood Green Juniors	Leading subject leaders Subject lead training Strategic leadership The school has a high level of expertise amongst its middle and senior leaders. They are keen to develop systems for providing outreach and support to other schools
Learning Environment	Cardinal Road Beavers	Leadership OPAL and Outdoor learning
	Grove Road Springwell	Outdoor environment Peer Challenge partners believe it would be of benefit to a lot of school leaders to see the indoor and outdoor learning environment and the opportunities that have been created.
Maths		
Mental health and wellbeing	Lionel	Mental Health support
Other area	Our Lady & St Johns Fairholme Wellington	Parental engagement Pastoral support of the staff and leadership High expectations and standards in KS2 Challenges for all pupils
PPG SEND	Grove Road Sparrow Farm	SEND Provision Trauma response and attachment awareness SEND Strategy
	Alexandra Cedars	SEN SEND support for SEMH Outreach work – de-escalation
Subject specific	St Lawrence Wellington Crane Park	Strong SENCo and AHT Team who lead and manage effectively Support for SEND who are well supported Very strong and Proactive PE lead teacher.
	Nishkam	Subject lead for Science to meet subject leads from Triad/HEP to share expertise and knowledge.
Teaching and Learning	Springwell Ivybridge	Talk for Writing Use of PiXL PSHE – Curriculum design, delivery and impact Supporting the development of language and communication skills, specifically in supporting children with low language levels due to SEND and self help skills
	Edward Pauling Hounslow Heath Juniors	Solo taxonomy and the staggered teaching approach Use of technology – specifically iPads
	Isleworth Town Our Lady & St Johns Smallberry Green Reach Edward Pauling	Science; supporting schools in achieving the PSM RE History leadership and the development of the history curriculum Visits by subject leads – strong teacher and learning rubric Consistency and clarity of intent
	Strand on the Green	Pedagogy – age appropriate practical collaborative learning
	Hounslow Heath Juniors	Pupil voice – ambassadors Use of teaching Assistants

Background to the model

Peer Challenge was designed with local head teachers. Peer Challenge has evolved from the Hounslow Learning Partnership. It is a partnership between schools that promotes collective responsibility and shared accountability for the educational outcomes of children and young people in Hounslow. The shared moral purpose for Hounslow schools is reflected in Peer Challenge's public value proposition.

'Peer Challenge will work collaboratively to ensure that all children have a world class, rounded education, through an ambitious and creative curriculum, enabling every individual to achieve outstanding progress and attainment. This will be realised through our commitment to, and participation in, a systematic, sustainable and accountable framework of challenge and support for school improvement, drawing on best practice locally, nationally and internationally.'

Peer Challenge

Peer Challenge is a school improvement system for all Hounslow schools including academies and free schools. It is designed to drive improvement in the quality of education for Hounslow children and young people.

The aims of Peer Challenge are to:

- Foster and embed ambition, high aspiration and achievement for all the children and young people educated in Hounslow
- Establish a distinctive and sustainable 'Hounslow' approach to support for school improvement, created, developed and owned by Hounslow schools, and founded on the principles of 'system leadership', in which school leaders contribute to school improvement beyond their own school
- Adopt an approach to school improvement that supports and challenges all schools - not just those at risk - at whatever stage of their improvement journey

The impact of the Peer Challenge Framework will be measured by:

- Pupil attainment and progress against national expectations and London-wide benchmarks
- The attainment and progress of pupils eligible for the pupil premium and the most able
- The proportion of Hounslow schools assessed as good or outstanding by Ofsted.
- How well schools have performed have worked towards Hounslow's Performance Challenge

Hounslow Performance Challenge 2022-2023

This academic year's Performance Challenge to be discussed at your meeting and recorded in the report.

Early Years

The Early Years Challenge is to move towards or beyond the 2019 GLD of 74% through identifying the elements of the Early Learning Goals such as speaking and listening or writing or whatever elements each school identifies, that will help close the gap.

Phonics

To embed the phonic programmes school use to make progress towards the 2019 target of 85% or better.

Key Stage 1

To sustain overall performance at 2019 levels for Year 2 in Reading, Writing and Maths.


Key Stage 2

For Hounslow local authority to achieve positive scores for progress in Reading, Writing and Mathematics.

Attendance

Given the challenges faced by all schools over the past few years, what steps have schools taken to ensure all children are attending school regularly?

All schools will need to look at attendance and attainment data for certain groups including disadvantaged, SEND, from September 23. Young carers will need to be identified for January 23 census.



Peer Challenge in Practice

The focus for Peer Challenge is the quality of education, (standards in English and Maths, plus an in-depth scrutiny of one or more subjects.)

The Peer Challenge will look at the identified areas for development since the previous Peer Challenge report in your school.

Peer Challengers will then look at the quality of education across the whole curriculum with senior school staff to assess the impact that teachers and support staff are having on pupil learning and progress.

Peer Challengers should also have discussions with school leaders and possibly a range of staff about the quality of teaching at the school and how they know.

Key to the success of our model is consistency in terms of:

- **Protocols**
- **Preparation**
- **Procedures**

Successful practice over the past five years has shown the importance of prompt completion and circulation of Peer Challenge reports, with a draft to the host school within **48 hours**.

The Peer Challenge remit is:

- to carry out a programme of Peer Challenge to support school improvement across Hounslow
- to scrutinise school self-evaluation
- to identify strengths, vulnerabilities and priorities for support
- agree a category of school effectiveness.

Protocol, Preparation and Procedure

Protocol

- All colleagues must please prioritise Peer Challenge in their diaries
- The triad meeting is for head teachers. The host school is welcome to involve senior managers to participate as part of their CPD
- The schools within the triad / quad may decide to involve additional SLT / subject leaders, in agreement with schools.
- All colleagues within the Triad must commit sufficient time to meeting preparation, attendance, and follow up
- All colleagues must ensure that they are appropriately prepared for their peer challenge visits, specifically in relation to the last Peer Challenge report relating to the Host school, and knowledge and understanding of any subsequent information provided by the Host school
- The written report should be turned around by the Lead Peer Challenger within 48 hours and sent to the host school to read and return with any amendments within 3 working days
- The final Report should be sent to the Collaborative Lead Headteacher, and within 7 working days of the Challenge visit
- The full findings of the visit, the report and effectiveness judgement are confidential to the Triad, Collaborative Lead head teachers, and the LA with the following provisos:
 - The Host Headteacher should share outcomes with their Chair of Governors
 - A brief summary of overall outcomes from the Peer Challenge will be shared at the School Improvement Committee of which the LA is a partner. The HEP Board will identify funding streams to support joint professional practice
 - The LA may share the report with the School Effectiveness Team, Ofsted or SRB as part of its statutory responsibility for Schools Causing Concern
- If a judgement cannot be agreed at the end of the final meeting, reasons for this must be stated and understood by all involved and both judgements need to be recorded
- When a Triad cannot agree on a Peer Challenge Judgement, the report must be submitted to the Lead Headteacher to clarify areas of disagreement as soon as possible

Roles and responsibilities during the day

Roles should be as stated on the collaborative timetable, if in doubt please clarify at the beginning of the day or with your collaborative lead.

Host Headteacher:

- Manage logistics as set out below
- Invite other members of SLT to participate in the day as part of their CPD.
- Engage actively with the process throughout the day, with a completely free diary
- Identify other areas the school would like validation with

Lead Peer Challenger:

Liaise with the Host HT and support HTs prior to the visit and lead the Challenge process throughout the day and chair the discussions about:

- Wellbeing of pupils and staff, including the leadership group
- Progress on identified areas since the last Peer Challenge
- Pupil progress of school's previously identified groups
- Direct other members of the Challenge team with regard to specific areas to investigate
- Discuss Hounslow's Performance Challenge for recovery

Lead final meeting of the day:

- Celebrate the school's strengths
- Identify areas for improvement and vulnerabilities
- Discuss further support needs from Triad, Collaborative or external commissioning as per Peer Challenge classification
- Identify opportunities for the school to contribute to school-to-school support or joint practice development
- Agree a Peer Challenge judgement in relation to the school's own SEF judgement before you leave the school

Support Headteacher(s):

- Play an active part in the Challenge process through preparation
- Ask follow up questions as appropriate
- Note all meetings to support Lead, being mindful of final report format
- Note good practice to share across Peer Challenge
- Note excellent teaching (year group/subject/specific good practice)
- Support the identification of gaps in current school improvement support, and note possible Collaborative solutions.

Quality Assurance

There is a rolling programme of quality assurance. The QA proforma for completion is attached.

The Peer Challenge is clear that Quality Assurance is essential to eliminate risk, ensure consistency and assure credibility and robustness of the model. Quality Assurance demonstrates our commitment to continuous improvement.

The role of the Quality Assurer is to be independent and objective, unbiased and to identify critical risks before they become significant issues. The assessor will possess the essential skills in order to make sound judgements about the Peer Challenge model, and will also validate judgements made by the Triad. The Quality Assurer's report will make recommendations on the whole of the Peer Challenge process in terms of adding value to the model, as well as commenting on specifics in relation to the Triad observed.

The consultants who undertake the QA role will be experienced in Ofsted procedures as well as having experience of working with schools in an advisory role. QA colleagues will have knowledge and understanding of our Peer Challenge model.

Our Quality Assurance Team for Summer 2023 are:

Eithne Leming	eithne@e-l-a.co.uk	07920 460 033
Clementina Aina	clemntina29@gmail.com	07984 416 033
Neil Harvey	nharvey2013@gmail.com	07956 818 239
Ogugua Okolo-Angus	ookolo@aol.com	07940 45 75 62
Sue Chesworth	sue.chesworth@hotmail.co.uk	07783 354307

Preparation

Host School

The Host School is asked to ensure that documentation is provided to the Lead Support Headteacher and the QA, if you are having one, at least one week before the Triad convenes in your school. **Along with the SEF**, the following are recommended:

- Pupil progress Data in your school's chosen format for all year groups
- Your latest PPG report
- Most recent OFSTED or any external reports
- Relevant sections of the School Development Plan
- Any other reports that the host school would like to share e.g. a recent HT report to Governors or Challenge Partner report
- Curriculum statement or rationale
- Inspection Data Summary Report found at <https://sa.education.gov.uk>

Preparation for the day:

- Host school to make sure that a suitable room is available for the day with access to refreshments, including a 'working lunch'
- Host school staff must be informed in good time and understand the purpose of the visit and class / set planning to be available during lesson observations
- A contextual sheet for the classes or sets to be available with lowest 20% identified.

Lead and Support head teachers:

Familiarity with the Host School's documentation is essential. Please ensure that you have given sufficient time to reading and absorbing the information provided and plan some challenging questions as a result of your reading.

A suggested outline for Peer Challenge visit day

The Host Headteacher should feel free to amend this timetable to suit the particular needs of their school, but any changes should be shared with members of the Triad prior to the meeting. Any substantial changes to the process should be agreed with the Lead Headteacher in advance.

Triad head teachers meet to discuss:

- The impact of specific school improvement actions since the last PC visit
- Discuss Hounslow's Performance Challenge for recovery
- Progress data, with specific attention to the impact of Catch-Up funding & PPG spending this academic year. Is this on the school website? (DFE Pupil Premium Strategy Template)
- Current school priorities and how these are to be achieved, as demonstrated through the school development plan
- The impact of leadership in creating an ambitious vision and culture of high expectations for the curriculum

Monitoring of the quality of education

Time could include conversations with middle and subject leaders, in order to validate

the school's own evaluation of the impact teachers and support staff are having on pupils' progress.

Discussions with Peer Challenge Team on findings from the morning's monitoring over lunch.

Final feedback meeting

This must include:

- Discussion on findings from the day relating to the quality of education and pupil progress
- Agreement on overall Peer Challenge judgement in relation to the school's own SEF judgements
- Identification of a key strength or strengths which could be considered for sharing across Peer Challenge schools
- Participation in any areas of joint practice development

Quality Assurers should feedback key findings on the process at the end of the day.

Criteria for overall effectiveness judgement and completion of the form

A professional conversation is held to enable a final judgement to be agreed.

- HEP board reviews any financial considerations based on the outcomes.
- Levels of support reflect the functions of the LA with regard to its statutory responsibilities for Schools Causing Concern
- **Please include specific strengths and best practice which can be shared across the HEP schools and areas of vulnerability where external support would be valuable for your school.**

Peer Challenge Judgement

The Peer Challenge model is guided by Ofsted's evaluation schedule.

The proposed designations are over the page and are the same as those used in previous rounds of Peer Challenge. They describe schools that are improving **within** their current category, as well as those that may be vulnerable. Outline information is provided about the agreed levels of support by both Peer Challenge and LA in relation to the Peer Challenge judgement that is made. This sheet should be referred to when making your final judgement at the end of the day.

Critical Incidence on the day of the Peer Challenge

If, on the day of a Peer Challenge the school has a critical incident, the host HT in agreement with the lead HT will make a decision about cancelling or rescheduling the visit.

A critical incident may be a sudden bereavement, premises issues, or a serious safeguarding incident.

Peer Challenge may also need to be adapted or rescheduled due to a recent Ofsted or in relation to any of the above.

Peer Challenge Judgements

PC effectiveness judgements confirm or challenge the school's SEF judgements (based on Ofsted's evaluation schedule)

School SEF School Judgement: Teaching, Learning and Assessment and outcomes for pupils		
Outstanding	Good	Requires Improvement
Peer Challenge Judgement		
Outstanding	Good	Requires Improvement (Every RI school is a School Causing Concern to the LA)
<p>Improving The school is securely 'outstanding' and self-improving Mutual Support across Triad and Collaborative brokered by head teachers</p> <p>Vulnerable The school is considered to be at risk of losing its 'outstanding' judgement at the next Ofsted inspection</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Primary School Improvement Committee alerted • Mutual support from Triad and Collaborative brokered by head teachers • Support via school-to-school register, Community of Interest, Commissioned Consultancy, Joint Practice development 	<p>Improving The school is securely 'good', self-improving and are improving within their current Ofsted category or are on a trajectory to Outstanding Mutual support across Triad and Collaborative brokered by head teachers</p> <p>Vulnerable The school is not improving or is at risk of being judged 'RI' at the next Ofsted inspection</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Primary School Improvement Committee alerted and support brokered • Mutual support from Triad and Collaborative brokered by head teachers • Support via school-to-school register, Joint Practice development • An LA review could be commissioned to provide further evidence 	<p>Improving The school is much improved and if this trajectory continues, it is expected to be judged at least 'good' at the next Ofsted</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Primary School Improvement Committee alerted and support brokered • LA interventions reviewed • Mutual support across Triad and Collaborative brokered by head teachers • Support via School-to-School register and Joint Practice development • Commissioned consultancy <p>Vulnerable The school is not improving fast enough or is at risk of being judged 'inadequate' at next Ofsted inspection</p> <p>Supports 'Twinning' with an outstanding or good school, as judged by the collaborative LA Reviews reports, initiates direct discussions with school re action plan for improvement Considers Warning Letter and/or IEB</p>

Timeline for Peer Challenge

Pre Visit

Collaborative leads liaise with schools to organise dates and QA's.
Host Headteacher ensures all paperwork is sent out at least 1 week before the visit to the Peer Challenge Team and the QA if applicable

During Visit

The agenda sent by the Host Headteacher is followed and English, Maths and another curriculum subject are the focus for the Quality of Education judgement.
A judgement is agreed at the end of the day, before the Peer Challenge team leave.
If the judgement is not agreed, both judgements can be submitted with the reasons for this.
This may be followed up by mediation by the Collaborative Lead.

Post Visit

Triad lead completes host school report – including both judgements if there has been a difference of opinion.
Report sent to host school and to support Headteacher to check factual accuracies and forwarded to the Collaborative Lead.
The QA Report is sent to all members of the triad to check for factual accuracies and also forwarded to the Collaborative Lead.
Collaborative Leads send all reports to PA at Isleworth town.
Collaborative Leads produce summative collaborative report highlighting common areas of interest, strengths and areas for development.
Reports forwarded to LA prior to SLIPS meeting.

SLIPS COMMITTEE

School Led Improvement Primary Committee receive information regarding the strengths and areas for development across all Hounslow schools.
Vulnerable schools and Focus schools are followed up by the LA.
Joint practice development priorities are discussed
Commissioning proposals from SLIPS are provided for agreement by the Board

School 2 School Support

One of the key outcomes of effective sector led school improvement is the identification and dissemination of best practice. Each Triad is invited to put forward at least one case study and to identify best practice in any of the schools.

School to school support has two distinct areas:

- An up to date, relevant list of effective practice or lead practitioners in our schools by theme or subject
- Case studies of successful projects/initiatives highlighting how you might wish to start a similar piece of work in your own school.

The support list is assembled with reference to the following principles:

- Peer Challenge promotes collective responsibility and shared accountability for the educational outcomes of children and young people across the Borough.
- The support list will enable schools to share expertise and skills through offers of school-to-school support, as we work together to improve the quality of education for Hounslow's pupils.
- The support list is quality assured by head teachers themselves.

Joint Practice Development

JPD will be formed following each round of Peer Challenge based on identified needs. Schools will be welcome to join or may be asked to share good practice through JPD. This service may be spot purchased where schools would like to participate.

In the Appendices at the back of this booklet you will find:

1. The Peer Challenge reporting format
2. An example of a good Peer Challenge Report
3. Summative report format for completion by the Collaborative Lead Headteacher, which will form the basis of the report to the School Lead Improvement Committee Primary (SLIPS).
4. Sample questions for pupils
5. Sample questions for middle / subject leaders.

Accountability

In partnership with the LA, the School Improvement Committee will take responsibility for:

- Providing an oversight and direction for the Hounslow school improvement strategy
- Maintaining an overview of school performance including schools causing concern
- Establishing a quality assurance system for the school Peer Challenge programme
- Holding the commissioning budget and commissioning and brokering support for schools
- Identifying strengths and priorities across Hounslow schools
- Receiving, approving and monitoring progress against action plans for schools causing concern

Hounslow Performance Challenge Summer Term 2023

Peer Challenge Report

Name of School

Collaborative A/B/C/D

Date of Triad visit:

Date of last Ofsted Inspection and Judgement:

Lead Peer Challenger:

Support Peer Challenger(s):

Others present:

Quality of Education: School SEF Judgement:

Outstanding	Good	Requires Improvement
-------------	------	----------------------

Quality of Education: Peer Challenge Judgement:

Outstanding	Good	Requires improvement
-------------	------	----------------------

Current Contextual Information

Hounslow Performance Challenge Outcomes

Subject focus for this Peer Challenge:

Discussion on key findings from the day, addressing specifically:

Progress on initiatives (including actions from previous Peer Challenge visits):

Quality of Education:

Intent (Vision – discussions with senior leaders and subject leaders):

Implementation (Teaching and Learning – book look, lesson observations, learning walks – *feedback from school*):

Impact (Pupil progress – *Autumn baseline data/ Autumn outcomes*):

Strengths:

--

Priorities for Development:

Current support –

Support required -

Vulnerabilities –

Good Practice that can be offered to other schools across the Peer Challenge – be specific

(Quality assured through the Peer Challenge process or through external validation)

Overall Peer Challenge Triad are in agreement/not agreement with SEF for the following reasons:

Early Years judgement, if applicable, in agreement/ not agreement with SEF:

Any other comments about the visit including comments from any members of the Triad:

Signatures:

Headteacher

Lead Peer Reviewer

Collaborative Lead Report – Summer 2023

Collaborative A/B/C/D

TEACHING, LEARNING and ASSESSMENT Peer Challenge judgements: O G RI							
	Name of School		Name of School		Name of School		Name of School
Triad 1							
Triad 2							
Triad 3							
Triad 4							
Common strengths identified:							
Common issues raised through Peer Challenge discussions:							
Strengths and priorities for development and vulnerabilities							
School		Good Practice or Expertise					
Support that can be offered to other schools including any specific strengths							
QA Summary for collaborative							

Quality Assurance Report

Collaborative A/B/C/D

Date:

School:

Head teacher:

Lead Peer Challenge head teacher:

Also present:

Did you receive all relevant paperwork in advance? Please note what paperwork you received:

Please provide evaluative comments on the strengths of this Peer Challenge in relation to:

Confirming school self–evaluation judgements relating to Quality of Education identifying school strengths and areas for development. Discussion re the Hounslow Performance Challenge for Recovery.

Please provide evaluative comments on the quality of questioning and management of the day by the visiting team

Can you signpost other resources / research that might support the school in the areas they have identified?

Please provide feedback on any process issues which could strengthen and improve the effectiveness of this model.

Any further comments which should be brought to the attention of the School Improvement Committee.

Please comment on any specific support or input from the Quality Assurer

Signed:

Date:

The draft report should be sent to the host HT and when agreed sent to the Triad and the lead HT for the collaborative

'MODEL' PEER CHALLENGE REPORT – Summer 2023

Collaborative A/B/C/D

Date of Triad visit: 16th May 2023

Date of last Ofsted Inspection and Judgement: Newly formed primary school (April 2023) to be inspected within 3 years

Lead Peer Challenger:

Support Peer Challenger(s):

Others present: Deputy Heads from all three schools

Quality of Education: School SEF Judgement

Outstanding	Good	Requires Improvement
-------------	------	----------------------

Quality of Education: Peer Challenge Judgement:

Outstanding	Good	Requires improvement
-------------	------	----------------------

Contextual Information –

The Infant and Nursery School and Junior School amalgamated in April 2022. The newly formed Primary School is a three-form entry school with a reduced PAN of 60 in some year groups to support the low numbers. There are currently 499 pupils on roll; 257 boys, 242 girls. The school has a very diverse community and 51 different languages spoken. 69.3% of pupils have English as an additional language. The proportion of pupils supported by the pupil premium is 14.9%. The proportion of pupils with SEND is 12% (2 of whom have EHCPs). Attainment on entry is below average. Attendance is 94%). The school is part of HEP and takes part in two Peer Challenges each year.

The Headteacher of the Junior school was appointed as the Headteacher for the newly amalgamated school after a period of an interim role. A new leadership team was formed with a priority on bringing the staff in both phases together. The school rebranded to symbolise the beginning of a new journey and the SLT have been successful in bringing everyone together.

The Primary School is due to be inspected within 3 years of amalgamation.

Wellbeing - Staff and pupils

The wellbeing of the whole school community continues to be a high priority. All members of the school and wider community have been supported in a range of ways. The school promote an open and understanding culture, giving the same consideration and support to mental health as physical health, including in the management of staff absence. SLT have worked hard to control the risks associated with work-related stress in school as far as is

reasonably practicable. SLT channel support to individuals whose role is known to have a significant emotional component. This might take the form of peer support, supervision, and/or counselling. The leadership team ensure that staff understand the real benefits that sensitive pastoral support can have, while also recognising where their limits are as non-specialists. The school has recently bought into The Thrive programme and is enhancing its pupil wellbeing support, alongside strong practice that was already established.

Hounslow Performance Challenge Outcomes

Hounslow Performance Challenge 22-23	Outcomes for the school
<p>EYFS The Early Years Challenge is to move towards or beyond the 2019 GLD of 74%</p>	<p>Progress towards this has been evident on the visit and the school feels confident they will meet this target.</p>
<p>Phonics To embed the phonic programmes school use to make progress towards the 2019 target of 85% or better.</p>	<p>Achieved: 88% passed the phonics test (4 children retook the test in June 2022 and 2 passed.)</p>
<p>Key Stage 1 To sustain overall performance at 2019 levels for Year 2 in Reading, Writing and Maths.</p>	<p>Progress towards: Due to the high SEND and absence, the results of this year group were lower than 2019 cohort.</p>
<p>Key Stage 2 For Hounslow local authority to achieve positive scores for progress in Reading, Writing and Mathematics.</p>	<p>Progress towards: Non mobile cohort - positive scores likely across all three subjects Mobile cohort – negative progress - writing</p>
<p>Attendance Given the challenges faced by all schools over the past few years, what steps have schools taken to ensure all children are attending school regularly? All schools will need to look at attendance and attainment data for certain groups including disadvantaged, SEND, from September 23. Young carers will need to be identified for January 23 census.</p>	<p>Attendance is still an issue for the school following the pandemic and many families taking term time leave to travel abroad when flights are cheaper. Many strategies have been discussed and put in place by the school to tackle this. Disadvantaged higher attendance as a group across the school. SEND apart from those with hospital visits in line with school and LA attendance. Young carers are an area the school wishes to explore further.</p>

Wider curriculum focus for this Peer Challenge: History

Progress on Autumn 2023 initiatives including actions from previous Peer Challenge

- Middle leaders are supporting monitoring activities and have developed practice that is more consistent with school policies.
- Supportive work scrutiny has led to an increase in standards in writing.
- English and Maths subject leaders have been able to coach newer members of staff and this has led to an increase in expectations and therefore attainment recently.
- The School has focussed on pedagogy and consistency of expectations across the curriculum with assessment in non-core being the next focus.

Quality of Education

Intent (Vision – discussions with senior leaders and subject leaders)

The senior leaders are able to clearly articulate the school vision and values. They have recently been revised and are being embedded across the school. The Head explained that pupil discussions showed the school values of independence, ambition and creativity were understood well and this was also demonstrated through recent learning walks by SLT. The senior leadership team are currently revising the curriculum plan for the whole school.

Implementation (Teaching and Learning – book look, lesson observations, learning walks)

Subject leaders for English and history were able to report how the curriculum has been designed through collaboration with another school. The history curriculum is designed through a thematic approach and lessons are well planned with key skills and knowledge identified. SLT informed the triad that progress in history across the school was very evident in book looks. A recent scrutiny of science revealed this is a key area for development. The subject leader is new to post and the curriculum is less well developed than other subjects. However, recent lesson visits and book looks by SLT showed progress across the curriculum in English, maths and history.

The English lead explained that the standard and expectations in English are also evident in other curriculum areas. In year 2 pupils were able to use previously taught vocabulary and grammar to write recounts related to their topic on the Fire of London. In year 4 pupils used research from a local visit and a number of first-hand resources to write a visitor guide to the local area.

The SLT reported that reading activities were recently observed in years 2,4 & 6. Whilst the majority of books were matched well to pupils reading ability, there was not consistent practice evident across the school. Senior leaders are aware of this (*listed on SDP as a key action*) and they have invested in a number of training sessions to equip teachers and TAs with the necessary skills to teach reading in KS2.

Impact (Pupil progress)

The Head informed the triad that internal data and most end of key stage outcomes show maths is consistently good across the school. In year 6 book looks and lesson visits showed pupils were able to solve problems, attempt difficult calculations and explain their answers. End of KS2 data for reading had seen an understandable drop in both progress and attainment; as previously mentioned the school is rapidly trying to improve this through CPD. Teachers clearly know the needs of pupils with SEND and book looks show they plan activities to meet their needs in the majority of year groups. In the core subjects disadvantaged pupils have well differentiated work which enables them to succeed. SLT reported that this level of differentiation was not consistent across Key Stage 2 and was an area of focus.

Early Years

The Assistant Head for EYFS explained that children have made progress in Nursery from low starting points, but the impact of the pandemic can clearly be seen. (Language and communication being very evident.) The high-quality environment that supports an ambitious and well-planned curriculum, will hopefully enable greater progress to be seen

during the year. Nursery pupils are fairly independent in their learning. In Reception there is a focus on developing children’s early reading and writing skills. The indoor learning environment supports this. Staff read to children every day. However, in Reception there are limited opportunities for children to develop their physical skills and explore the natural environment outdoors.

Strengths:

- Senior leaders are clear about how the curriculum should be taught and have put a management structure in place to support this
- Maths and history are curriculum strengths. Subject leaders have a good understanding of their subjects and have clearly disseminated this across the school
- Trauma response & attachment aware provision.
- The support SEND and disadvantaged pupils receive is also a strength. Clear adaptations to the curriculum have been made to enable pupils to succeed
- Progress of the nursery cohort, despite the number of high needs, is excellent. Staff are committed and focussed ensuring the needs of all pupils are met.
- Pupil’s positive behaviour and attitudes is strong across the school. Pupils are polite, respectful and caring towards each other.

Priorities for Development:

- Ensure all subject leaders are equipped with the knowledge and skills to carry out their roles and responsibilities
- Review the provision for Reception pupils to ensure they have regular access to outdoor learning
- Further embed the teaching of reading by sharing the good practice that already exists in the school

Current support – Early Years is currently being supported by an external adviser

Support required - The school is part of a network of other schools and will be looking at more collaborative working around subject leadership

Vulnerabilities – none at the moment

Good Practice that can be offered to other schools across the Peer

Challenge *(Quality assured through the Peer Challenge process or through external validation)*

Senior leaders would be happy to share their thematic approach to history with other schools. In particular, how they have mapped out skills and knowledge across the key stages

Overall Peer Challenge Triad are in agreement / not agreement with SEF for the following reasons:

The Peer Challenge Team saw strong practice across the school and this was evidenced in lesson observations, book looks and pupil voice.

Early Years judgement, if applicable, in agreement / not agreement with SEF:

Any other comments about the visit including comments from any members of the Triad:

It was a pleasure to visit the school and particularly to learn about all the nurture work that is going on to support a vulnerable community. It was a useful day of discussion and it is very impressive to see how SLT have navigated the recent changes.

Signatures:

Headteacher

Lead Peer Reviewer

Examples of Questions:

Questions for middle / subject leaders about their roles and responsibilities

Outcomes for Pupils: Early Years Foundation Stage

- How have you embedded the school's vision and values in your curriculum area?
- How well do different groups of pupils adapt to going to school?
- How does the pupil profile compare with that of similar schools nationally?
- What is the improvement plan for the EYFS? How was it developed?
- Talk me through why the priorities are as identified.
- How does the EYFS improvement plan relate to the school improvement plan?
- How are its implementation and effectiveness monitored and evaluated?
- How is this reported to senior leadership and governors?
- What is your role in the appraisal/performance management and professional development of your staff?
- Can you describe how you have helped a colleague to improve his/her performance through this process?
- How well do pupils progress relative to starting points?
- How do you ensure that children are set ambitious targets?
- How do you ensure that the curriculum meets the needs of the children?
- How well do pupils with special educational needs (SEN) progress?
- How do you identify pupils with SEN?
- What proportion of pupils are making below typical, typical or rapid progress?
- Can you provide case studies of looked after pupils and pupils with disabilities or mental health needs?
- Do you know which pupils qualify for the pupil premium? What additional support do they receive?
- How do you monitor their progress?
- What evidence do you have that these children are catching up with their peers?
- How do you ensure that the children are safe?
- Who is the designated safeguarding lead?
- Have you ever reported a potential child protection issue? If so, what happened and how were you informed of the outcome?

In schools with provision for two year-olds:

- How have you ensured that staff are aware of the typical development characteristics of two year-olds, and how these change as they approach their third birthday?

Outcomes for Pupils: Key Stages 1-4

- How well do pupils progress relative to starting points in English, mathematics and science/your subject areas?
- How is the school developing assessment and feedback to ensure that teaching and learning is most effective?
- How do your assessment methods match the curriculum?
- How are assessments moderated both internally and externally?
- How well do pupils progress relative to starting points in different subjects?
- How well are gaps narrowing between the performance of different groups?
- How does the narrowing of gaps between different groups in school compare with the performance of other pupils nationally?
- How well do pupils learn in a range of (your) subjects?
- How well are reading, communication, writing and mathematics skills learned and applied?
- What are the standards by the end of the Key Stage?
- How do standards/progress at the end of the KS compare with those of similar schools nationally?
- How do results compare with floor standards?
- What conclusions have you drawn from the analysis of results, and what action have you taken? What impact have these actions had?
- Can you indicate the progress made by pupils who qualify for the pupil premium?

Quality of Education

- How do teachers use data/information to plan learning experiences that will extend previous knowledge, skills and understanding?
- How are skills in reading, writing, communication and mathematics developed and applied across the curriculum?
- How is the effectiveness of this monitored and evaluated?
- How does the school ensure that pupils' SEN education plan targets are known by teachers and met?
- What teaching strategies have been developed to engage pupils in learning? How are these shared?
- How does the school ensure that tasks are challenging enough to stretch the most able pupils?
- How does teaching set challenging tasks for specific learning needs?
- What strategies are used to increase independence in learning? How is success measured?
- How does written and verbal feedback given to pupils help them to improve their learning? Can you show me examples?

- What training and development has been done to enable teachers to use questions and discussion to promote learning? How effective has this been?
- Where would I see good examples of questioning and discussion?
- How does the school evaluate the extent to which teachers enthuse and motivate pupils?
- How is curiosity encouraged? Where would I see good examples of this?
- How is homework used to encourage, enthuse and develop pupils' skills and understanding?
- How is ICT used to encourage, enthuse and develop pupils' skills and understanding?
- How do teachers ensure that their planning and teaching extend all of the 'sub-groups' within a class?
- How well do teachers evaluate lessons?
- Give examples of actions taken as a result.
- How do teachers reinforce the school's behaviour code and core values?
- Is this consistent across the school?
- How well do they do this?
- How willing are staff to seek additional training to develop professionally?
- How do teachers contribute to achieving the targets set out in the school's development plan?
- How do you work with other schools to adopt best practice in assessing pupils?

Personal Development, Behaviour and Welfare

- How does the school ensure consistent management of behaviour?
- How does the school assist pupils in combatting harassment and bullying? What evidence is there of improvement?
- How do staff promote a fair and unprejudiced approach on the part of pupils?
- How are pupils encouraged to live and act safely?
- What is the quality of home-school links with regard to attendance/behaviour/performance?
- How well do members of staff understand specific behavioural and health needs, and how is the consistency of response monitored?
- Can you provide case studies that relate to the experience and progress of looked after pupils?
- Can you do the same for pupils who have disabilities and mental health issues?

Effectiveness of Leadership and Management

- Can you articulate the school's vision and values?
- How are high expectations of teachers demonstrated, monitored and assisted?
- How does the school demonstrate high expectations for all pupils?
- How does your school's curriculum design meet the needs of all pupils?

- How does leadership ensure and develop a broad and balanced curriculum?
- What specific steps have been taken to improve pupils' behaviour and learning?
- How and where does the school address pupils' spiritual, moral, social and cultural (SMSC) development?
- How has leadership capacity been strengthened? What impact has this had?
- What detailed steps are taken to ensure that all pupils are safe?
- How does the school engage with parents / carers in key areas such as behaviour/achievement and SMSC development?
- How do you work with governors to indicate progress and problems?
- How do governors know how well your subject area is achieving? What feedback do you receive from governors about the performance in your area? (This is to find out how well governors are involved in monitoring and evaluating the performance of the school and holding school leaders – including middle leaders – to account.)
- What is the improvement plan for your area of leadership?
- How was it developed? Talk me through why the priorities are as identified.
- How does the improvement plan for your area relate to the school improvement plan?
- How are its implementation and effectiveness monitored and evaluated?
- How is this reported to senior leadership and governors?

Capacity to Improve

- How is SMSC development specifically encouraged by positive actions, initiatives and external links?
- Who are the 'hard-to-reach' pupils/families and what action is being taken to improve the situation?
- How well informed are staff about strategic goals?
- How does the school use the Teaching Standards?